Open Access

RESEARCH PAPER

Bioactive potentials of *Mansoa alliacea* (Lam.) leaf extracts against three stored-product pests *Callosobruchus chinensis* L., *Sitophilus oryzae* L. and *Tribolium castaneum* (Hbst.) adults

Sweety Sultana¹, Nelufa Yasmin², Alimul Islam¹ and Nurul Islam^{2*}

¹ Institute of Environmental Science, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh ² Department of Zoology, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Keywords: Mansoa alliacea, Callosobruchus chinensis, Sitophilus oryzae, Tribolium castaneum, Dose-mortality, Repellency.

Publication date: 10.08.2023

Abstract

Petroleum ether (Pet. ether), CHCl3 and CH3OH extracts of Mansoa alliacea (Lam.) leaves were tested against Callosobruchus chinensis L., Sitophilus oryzae L. and Tribolium castaneum (Hbst.) adults through dose-mortality and repellent activity assays. The Pet. ether extract gave LD₅₀ values 1.622, 1.015, 0.792, 0.552, 0.483, 0.479, 0.432 and 0.397 mg/cm² against C. chinensis after 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure; 2.711, 1.799, 1.037, 0.697, 0.596, 0.539 and 0.505 mg/cm² against S. oryzae after 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure; and 4.275, 1.864, 1.345, 1.10, 0.984, 0.928, 0.866 and 0.799 mg/cm² against T. castaneum after 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure respectively. The CH₃OH extract gave LD₅₀ values 2.349, 1.170, 0.757, 0.644, 0.561 and 0.423 mg/cm² against C. chinensis after 12, 18, 24, 30, 36 and 42 h of exposure; 5.641, 3.177, 2.706, 2.509, 2.298, 2.116, 2.037 and 1.759 mg/cm² after 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure against S. oryzae and 1.331, 1.043, 0.863, 0.792, 0.728, 0.636 and 0.612 mg/cm² against T. castaneum after 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure respectively; while the CHCl₃ extract did not show any mortality against all of the three test insects. In the repellency assay, none of the extracts showed any significant repellent activity against any of the test beetles. To show intensity of activity the extracts could be arranged in the following descending order: Pet. ether extract against C. chinensis > CH₃OH extract against C. *chinensis* > Pet. ether extract against *S. oryzae* > CH₃OH extract against *T. castaneum* > Pet. ether extract against *T. castaneum* > CH₃OH extract against *S. oryzae*.

*Corresponding Author: Sweety Sultana1, Email: sweetysa2006@yahoo.com

1. Introduction

The test plant Mansoa alliacea (Lam.), commonly called 'Garlic vine', belongs to the family Bignoniaceae, is popular with its violet coloured flowers and the leaf with pungent garlic-like smell (Ariga and Seki, 2006). This plant has been used traditionally in various pharmaceutical contexts in different countries. In Brazil, the leaf has been used to cure rheumatoid arthritis, dermal infections, and body cleaning purposes (Lanalice and Tavares-Martins, 2016). In Peru, dried leaves of M. alliacea are used treat cold, pneumonia and malaria (Desmarchelier et al., 1997; Pérez, 2002; Arana, 2005). The resultant liquid of the aerial parts of this plant are also used against fever and in pain due to rheumatic fever (Hasrat et al., 1997). Different parts of this plant have been reported for their different medicinal values. Leaves of *M. alliacea* are applied on the skin for analgesic purposes, bark produces as a tincture and the roots are used as a cold maceration (Taylor, 1996). The whole plant extract showed its antiplasmodial activity at 500mg/kg body weight (Ruiz et al., 2011). Leaves of this plant have been reported for showing antifungal potential against some of the fungal strains for instance- Alternaria spp., Colletotrichum capsica, Curvularia oxysporum lunata. Fusarium and Fusarium udum (Freixa et al., 1998). The root and stem extracts also have been reported for their anti-inflammatory activities (Pires et al., 2017). The aqueous extracts of leaf and flower of this plant showed significant larvicidal activity against Aedes spp. under laboratory conditions (Rath et al., 2013). Leaf extracts of *M. alliacea* exhibited cytotoxic action against cancer cells (Towne et al., One of the test 2015). insects Callosobruchus chinensis L. commonly known as 'Chinese bruchid, cowpea bruchid and pulse beetle' (Chandra and

Girish, 2014). This insect pest generally found in legume industries and causes serious damage to stored pulses (Srinivasan et al., 2008). Oviposition of this beetle is influenced by the seed surface smoothness, chemical stimuli and seed coat thickness (Ahmad et al., 2018). Sitophilus oryzae L., another test insect, occurring throughout the warmer parts of the world and known as 'Rice weevil'. In storage condition, they infest rice, damage the nutritional composition of the grains and produce non-beneficial proteins (Akhter et al., 2015). The red flour beetle, Tribolium castaneum (Hbst.) is known to be the most destructive pest in granaries, mills, warehouses and stored grains. This insect also considered as the secondary pest of cracked grains. In storage conditions, it decreases the nutritive value of the grains and causes significant economic damages (Burkholder and Faustini, 1991). Since the plant, M. alliacea possesses various pharmaceutical, medicinal and biological properties and uses, this investigation was aimed at evaluation of the insecticidal and insect repellent activities of the leaf extracts of this plant against the beetles of the coleopteran pests C. chinensis, S. oryzae and T. castaneum.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Collection and preparation of extracts

Fresh leaves of *M. alliacea* were collected during the month of July 2020 from the University of Rajshahi campus and identified by the herbarium keeper through comparison with the voucher specimen kept in the herbarium of the Department of Botany, University of Rajshahi. The leaves were chopped into small pieces and dried under shade before ground them to powder with the help of an electric grinder. The ground material was weighed and placed in a conical flask to add solvent in a manner of $50g \times 150ml \times 2$ times and the system was then kept on a shaker for 48 h; and filtration was done by using Whatman No. 40 filter paper and the collection was made in the same round bottom flask for the Pet. ether extract. The same was done for the solvents CHCl₃ and CH₃OH successively. After evaporation the extracts were removed to glass vials and preserved in a refrigerator at 4°C with proper labeling.

2.2. Collection of test insects and their culture

Adult beetles of *C. chinensis, S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* were collected from the Crop Protection and Toxicology Laboratory of the Department of Zoology of the University of Rajshahi. A mass culture and sub-cultures of the insects were maintained throughout the experimental period for instant supply of the test beetles

2.3. Bioassays for dose-mortality of *C*. *chinensis* and *S. oryzae*

The experiments of insecticidal activity assay on *C. chinensis* and *S. oryzae* were the same because of their feeding habit. An *Ad Hoc* experiment was set prior to conduct final experiments to find out the applicable concentrations of the extracts that considered as doses. The doses for the Pet. ether extract against *C. chinensis*

were 1.528, 1.273, 1.019, 0.764 and 0.509 mg/cm² and for the CH₃OH extract were 1.783, 1.528, 1.273, 1.019 and 0.764 mg/cm². The doses for the Pet. ether extract against S. oryzae were 1.528, 1. 273, 1.019, 0.764 and 0.509 mg/cm² and for the CH₃OH extract were 2.801, 2.546, 2.292 and 2.037 mg/cm². One ml of each of the doses was sprayed on the grains and allowed the solvent to dry out. The actual amount of extract present in 1 ml of dose was estimated by dividing the amount with the area of the Petri dish to yield the dose per square centimeter. Being volatile the solvent was rempved quickly. After complete drying the treated food grains kept in the lower part of a Petri dish 10 insects of same age were released in it and covered with the upper lid. The whole system was done in triplicate for replication for each of the doses. A control batch was maintained where the food grains were sprayed with the fresh solvent and evaporated before release of the beetles. The treated Petri dishes were kept incubator maintaining the in an temperature of the stock cultures. The mortality of insects was started counting after 6 h and such collection of data were conducted for more 7 times with 6 h of interval up to 48 h of exposure.

2.4. Bioassay for dose-mortality of *T*. *castaneum*

The insecticidal activity 0 assay on T. castaneum was not the same as done on C. chinensis and S. orvzae since the habit of feeding were not the same. An Ad *Hoc* experiment was done measure out the to applicable concentrations considered as doses. The established were doses 1.528, 1.273, 1.019, 0.764 and 0.509 mg/cm^2 for the Pet. ether and 1.070, 0.917, 0.764, 0.611 and 0.458 mg/cm^2 for the CH₃OH extracts. One ml of each of the doses was poured down into the lower part of a Petri dish and allowed the solvent to dry out before releasing the insects. Being volatile the solvent was removed, quickly. After complete drying 10 insects of same age were released in it and covered with the upper lid. The whole system was done in triplicate for replication for each of the doses. A control batch was maintained where the lower part on the Petri dish was sprayed with the fresh

solvent and evaporated before release of the beetles. The treated Petri dishes with insects were kept in an incubator maintaining the temperature of the stock cultures. The mortality of insects was started counting after 6 h and such collection of data were conducted for more 7 times with 6 h of interval up to 48 h of exposure.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The mortality per cent was corrected by using the Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925).

 P_r = ; Where, P_r = Corrected mortality (%), P_o = Observed mortality (%), P_c = Mortality in the control (%). The data were subjected to Probit analysis (Finney, 1947 and Busvine, 1991).

2.6. Repellent activity

The repellent activity assay was done according to the method of McDonald *et al.* (1970) with some modifications. A certain concentration for each of the extracts of Pet. ether/ CHCl₃/ CH₃OH was considered as the stock dose established through *Ad Hoc* experiment to be applied on the food supplied to the beetles of *C. chinensis* or *S. oryzae*, and other successive doses were prepared through serial dilution that gave 0.1571, 0.0785, 0.0392, 0.0196 and 0.0098 mg/cm². For

the repellent activity of the extracts Т. against castaneum the doses established separately but through the procedure same as above. For repellency assay on C. chinensis and S. oryzae the lower part of the Petri dish (9cm diam.) was divided into three parts by placing two narrow sticks stuck on the floor with the help of adhesive tapes, and both the parts on either of the sides were filled with treated and non-treated foods leaving the middle part left free where 10 beetles of same age were released. However, in case of T. castaneum half filter paper discs (Whatman No. 40, 9cm diam.) were used by cutting it into two pieces, and one of the two halves was treated with a selected dose and allowed the solvent to dry out by exposing it in the air for 30 minutes. Each treated half-disc was attached lengthwise, edge-to-edge to a control half-disc with the help of adhesive tapes and placed the whole on the lower part of a Petri dish and ten insects were released in the middle of each of the filter paper circles. The whole system was done for each of the doses in triplicate to be considered as replication. A control batch was also maintained in the same manner while the treated half-disc was prepared by applying the fresh solvent and

evaporating it immediately. Repellency of beetles was observed for every hour with interval up to five successive hours of exposure. In case of C. chinensis and S. oryzae data was collected by counting the number of insects from the non-treated part and the middle part of the floor of the Petri dish; while for *T. castaneum* it was done by counting the number of insects found on the non-treated part of the filter paper spread on the floor of the Petri dishes. The data were subjected to calculate percent repulsion, which was again developed by arcsine transformation for the calculation of the ANOVA. The average of the counts was converted to percentage of repellency (PR) using the formula of Talukder and Howse (1993, 1995): $PR = (Nc-5) \times 20$; where, Nc is the average hourly observation of insects on the non-treated halves of the filter paper discs.

3. Results

3.1. Dose mortality of the beetles of *C. chinensis*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* The results of dose-mortality assay of Pet. ether, CHCl₃ and CH₃OH extracts of *M. allicea* leaves against *C. chinensis*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* beetles are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. LD₅₀ of *M. allicea* leaf extract against *C. chinensis*, *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* adults.

| Test insects | Solvent used | LD ₅₀ (mg cm ⁻²) on different exposures (in hours) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| | | 6 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 36 | 42 | 48 | |

| C. chine | Pet. ether | 1.622 | 1.015 | 0.792 | 0.552 | 0.483 | 0.479 | 0.432 | 0.397 | |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | CHCl ₃ | - | | | | | | | | |
| nsis | CH ₃ OH | _ | 2.349 | 1.170 | 0.757 | 0.644 | 0.561 | 0.423 | - | |
| S. | Pet. ether | - | 2.711 | 1.799 | 1.037 | 0.697 | 0.596 | 0.539 | 0.505 | |
| s. oryzae | CHCl ₃ | | | | | - | | | | |
| | CH ₃ OH | 5.641 | 3.177 | 2.706 | 2.509 | 2.298 | 2.116 | 2.037 | 1.759 | |
| T. | Pet. ether | 4.275 | 1.864 | 1.345 | 1.10 | 0.984 | 0.928 | 0.866 | 0.799 | |
| castan | CHCl ₃ | CHCl ₃ - | | | | | | | | |
| eum | CH ₃ OH | - | 1.331 | 1.043 | 0.863 | 0.792 | 0.728 | 0.636 | 0.612 | |

The LD50 values of M. allicea leaf extracts (of Pet. ether) against C. chinensis were 1.622, 1.015, 0.792, 0.552, 0.483, 0.479, 0.432 and 0.397 mg/cm² after 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure were 2.349, 1.170, 0.757, 0.644, 0.561 and 0.423 mg/cm² after 12, 18, 24, 30, 36 and 42h of exposure respectively (of CH₃OH). Against S. oryzae the LD_{50} values established for the Pet. ether extract were 2.711, 1.799, 1.037, 0.697, 0.596, 0.539 and 0.505 mg/cm² after 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure and for the CH₃OH extract were 5.641, 3.177, 2.706, 2.509, 2.298, 2.116, 2.037 and 1.759 mg/cm² after 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure respectively. Against T. castaneum the Pet. ether extract showed LD₅₀ values 4.275, 1.864, 1.345, 1.10, 0.984, 0.928, 0.866 and 0.799 mg/cm² after 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of

exposure and for the CH₃OH extract 1.331, 1.043, 0.863, 0.792, 0.728, 0.636 and 0.612 mg/cm² after 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 and 48 h of exposure respectively. 6 and 0.612 mg cm⁻² after 12 h giving 7 readings of 6 h interval up to 48 h of exposure. However, the CHCl₃ extract of *M. allicea* leaf did not show mortality against all of the test insects of C. chinensis, S. oryzae and T. castaneum at all. The intensity of lethality showed by the extracts could be arranged in the descending order of the Pet. ether extract against C. chinensis > CH_3OH extract against C. chinensis > Pet. ether extract against S. oryzae > CH₃OH extract against *T. castaneum* > Pet. ether extract against *T. castaneum* > CH₃OH extract against *S*. oryzae.

3.2. Repellency against the adult beetles of C. chinensis, S. oryzae and T. castaneum

The repellency assay revealed that none of the Pet. ether, CHCl₃, and CH₃OH extracts of *M. allicea* leaves were found to possess components repulsive against the adult beetles of the storage pests C. chinensis, S. oryzae and T. castaneum, and the data are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. ANOVA for repellency by the Pet. ether, CHCl₃ and CH₃OH extracts of *M. allicea*

| Plan t part | Insects used | Solvents used | Source of Variation | Sum of Squares | df | MS | F | P- valu e |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----|----------|---------------------|-----------------|
| M. all ic ea (le av es) | C. chin ensi s | Pet. ether | Bd | 737.242 | 4 | 184.311 | 4.853 ^{ns} | 0.009 |
| | | rei. einei | Bti | 680.449 | 4 | 170.112 | 4.479 ^{ns} | 0.013 |
| | | CHCl ₃ | Bd | 196.033 | 4 | 49.008 | 1.117 ^{ns} | 0.383 |
| | | | Bti | 309.091 | 4 | 77.273 | 1.762 ^{ns} | 0.186 |
| | | CH3OH | Bd | 380.037 | 4 | 95.009 | 1.143 ^{ns} | 0.372 |
| | | | Bti | 232.647 | 4 | 58.162 | 0.700 ^{ns} | 0.603 |
| | S. oryz ae | Pet. ether | Bd | 2287.613 | 4 | 571.903 | 2.701 ^{ns} | 0.068 |
| | | | Bti | 5044.28 | 4 | 1261.07 | 5.956 ^{ns} | 0.004 |
| | | CHCl ₃ | Bd | 1765.407 | 4 | 441.352 | 0.920 ^{ns} | 0.476 |
| | | | Bti | 4253.47 | 4 | 1063.368 | 2.217 ^{ns} | 0.113 |
| | | CH ₃ OH | Bd | 3498.967 | 4 | 874.742 | 2.940 ^{ns} | 0.053 |
| | | | Bti | 2719.936 | 4 | 679.984 | 2.286 ^{ns} | 0.105 |
| | T. cast ane um | Pet. ether | Bd | 2493.174 | 4 | 623.293 | 1.166 ^{ns} | 0.362 |
| | | | Bti | 1745.461 | 4 | 436.365 | 0.816 ^{ns} | 0.533 |
| | | CHCl ₃ | Bd | 8002.453 | 4 | 2000.613 | 2.093 ^{ns} | 0.129 |
| | | | Bti | 1985.574 | 4 | 496.393 | 0.520 ^{ns} | 0.723 |
| | | CH ₃ OH | Bd | 1756.911 | 4 | 439.228 | 1.588 ^{ns} | 0.226 |
| | | | Bti | 849.795 | 4 | 212.449 | 0.768 ^{ns} | 0.561 |

leaves against C. chinensis, S. oryzae and T. castaneum adults

Bd= Between doses; Bti= Between time interval; ns = Non-significant.

4. Discussion

After conducting this investigation, we found the potentiality of *M. alliacea* leaf to the stored product pests. Previous Scientists were also conducted many types of research on the efficacy of M.

alliacea in different aspects that support our findings. Pandya et al. (2012) the antiinflammatory, described fungicidal, antibacterial and cholesterol lowering benefits of this plant species. Khurana and Bhargava (1969) revealed that M. alliacea extract showed mild biological affectivity against mosaic papaya and ringspot viruses. Towne *et al.* (2015) reported that *M. alliacea* has been used for treating cancer in the town of Puyo in Ecuador. They also described that this plant contains organosulfur ingredients as the same as in garlic, which are correlated with the lower incidence of cancers in clinical trials (Thomson and Ali, 2003; Wang et al., 2010). Since the presence of sulfonic compounds both in garlic and M. alliacea have some biological properties, the chemical component allicin found in this plant is reported for its antimicrobial activities (Towne et al., 2015). Song and Milner (2001) mentioned that the chemical allicin found in garlic has anti-cancer and antimicrobial properties. Allicin and the derivative allyl sulfide constituents found in *M. alliacea* have been detected with tumour suppression ability (Song et al., 2001; Zhou and Mirvish, 2005). Silva et al. (2019) described that M. alliacea has potentiality against the fungi cause diseases in *Passiflora edulis*. They proved that the alcoholic extract of this plant reduced the growth of the mycelium of the fungi Fusarium oxysporum and Colletotrichum gloeosporioides. Ameenabee et al. (2020) reported that the derivatives of this plant have some pharmacological properties for instanceanti-oxidant. anti-inflammatory,

antiseptic, and anti-bacterial. They also revealed that *M. alliacea* used for epilepsy, skin grievance, renal distress, sickening cell disease, helminthic infections, leprosy, tumours etc.

5. Conclusion

The results on the efficacy of the extracts of *M. alliacea* leaves against the insect pest storage through dose-mortality and repellent activity tests depicted that it contains some compounds with insecticidal potentials, however, there is no potential of being used as repellents. Further studies on the revelation of the responsible compounds in the leaves of this plant are recommended until the end products can be furnished and reached to the common people.

6. Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the Director of the Institute of Environmental Science, University of Rajshahi; and they would like to extend thanks to the Chairman of the Department of Zoology, University of Rajshahi for providing laboratory facilities.

7. References

- Abbott W11925925S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology*.**18**:265.
- Ahmad S, Haque A and Mahmud H. 2018.Effect of Pulse Beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L on oviposition and damage in some important genotypes of pulse crops in Bangladesh. *Biomedical Journal.* **2**:5.
- Akhter M, Bakkar A, Raza M, Iram N, Choudhry MI and Azeem W.

2015.Effect of infestation of *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on protein quality of rice under storage condition. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Sciences.* **7**(1): 43-45.

- Ameenabee SK, Rao AL, Rani PS, Sandhya T, Teja N, Ashu G, Vani VBN, Durganjali CHP and Pavani N. 2020.Phytochemical and invitro evaluation of anti-oxidant activity of *Mansoa alliacea* leaves. *Acta Scientific Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 4(10): 3-7.
- Arana VF. 2005.Control de *Hypsiphyla* grandella Zeller en plantaciones de *Cedrela odorata* L. (cedro rojo) con *Mansoa alliacea* (Lam.) AH Gentry (ajo sacha). *Conocimiento*. **8**:59-67.
- Ariga T and Seki T. 2006.Antithrombotic and anticancer effects of garlicderived sulfur compounds: A review. Biofactors. **26**(2): 93-103.
- Burkholder WE and Faustini DL. 1991.Biological methods of survey and control. Ecology and Management of Food Industry Pests. 361-372.
- Busvine JR. 1971. A critical review of the techniques for testing insecticides. Commonwealth Agricultural Buereux, London. pp.345.
- Chandra G and Girish S. 2014.*Callosobruchus chinensis* the pulse beetle cowpea bruchid. IAS Zoology. com.
- Desmarchelier C, Repetto M, Coussio J, Llesuy S and Ciccia G. 1997. Total reactive antioxidant potential (TRAP) and total antioxidant reactivity (TAR) of medicinal plants used in Southwest Amazonia (Bolivia and Peru). International Journalof Pharmacognosy. 35(4): 288-296.
- Finney DJ. 1947.Probit analysis: A statistical treatment of the sigmoid

response curve. Cambridge University Press, London. pp.333.

- Freixa B, Vila R, Vargas L, Lozano N, Adzet T and Cañigueral S.1998. Screening for antifungal activity of nineteen Latin American plants. *Phytotherapy Research*. **12**(6):427-430.
- Hasrat JA, De Backer JP, Vauquelin G and Vlietinck AJ. 1997.Medicinal plants in Suriname: screening of plant extracts for receptor binding activity. *Phytomedicine*.4(1): 59-65.
- Khurana SP and Bhargava KS.1969. Effect of plant extracts on the activity of three Papaya viruses. Journal of General and Applied Microbiology. **16**:225-230.
- Lanalice RF and Tavares-Martins AC. 2016.Chemical and ethnopharmacology mystical plants in an amazonian community. *Revista Fitos.* **10**: 220-372.
- McDonald LL, Guy RH and Speirs RD. 1970.Preliminary evaluation of candidate materials new as repellents, toxicants, and attractants against stored-product insects-1. Marketing Research Report. Agricultural Research Service, US Department of Agriculture, Washington DC. pp.882.
- Pandya D, Patel V, Desai T, Chaniyara N, Sankhavara M and Koyani
 V.2012. Pharmacognostic and phytochemical evaluation of aerial parts of *Bignonia alliaceae*. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Life Sciences*. **3**:1339-1344.
- Pérez D. 2002.For medical and biocides ethanobotany malaria in the region Ucayali. *Folia Amazon.* **13**:87-108.
- Pires FB, Dolwitsch CB, Dal Prá V, Faccin H, Monego DL, Carvalho LM, Viana C, Lameira O, Lima FO, Bressan L and Rosa MB.2017. Qualitative and

quantitative analysis of the phenolic content of *Connarus* var. *angustifolius*, *Cecropia obtusa*, *Cecropia palmata* and *Mansoa alliacea* based on HPLC-DAD and UHPLC-ESI-MS/MSRevista Brasileira de Farmacognosia. **27**(4):426-433.

- Rath MC, Sanjit VU and Harilal CC.2013. Larvicidal activity of crude aqueous extracts of *Adeocalymma alliaceum* against mosquito larvae. 23'O Swadeshi Science congress.
- Ruiz L, Ruiz L, Maco M, Cobos M, Gutierrez-Choquevilca AL and Roumy V. 2011.Plants used by native Amazonian groups from the Nanay River(Peru) for the treatment of malaria. J.of Ethnopharmacology.133(2):917-921.
- Silva CTB, Nakasone AK, Lemos WP, Lameira OA and Oliveira LC.2019. Antimicrobial activity of alcoholic extracts of medicinal plants against phytopathogenic fungi. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 41(6):1-7.
- Song K and Milner JA.2001. The influence of heating on the anticancer properties of Garlic. *Journal of Nutrition*. **131**:1054-1057.
- Srinivasan T, Durairaj C and Kumar BV. 2008. Damage potential of in different bruchids edible legumes and interspecific competition between two species of Callosobruchus spp. (Bruchidae: Coleoptera). ICFAI Journal of Life Sciences. 2:42-49.
- Talukder FA and Howse PE.1993. Deterrent and insecticidal effects

of extracts of Pithraj, *Aphanamixis* polystachya (Meliaceae), against *Tribolium castaneum* in storage. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. **19**(11):2463-2471.

- TalukderFA and Howse PE.1995.EvaluationofAphanamixispolystachyaasa sourceofrepellents, antifeedants, toxicantsand protectants in storage againstTriboliumcastaneumInterpellents, andofStoredStoredProductResearch.31(1):55-61.
- Taylor L. Ajos Sacha powder (*Mansoa alliacea*). 1996. (Available at http ://www.rain-tree.com/ajos-sacha powder.htm#.VLm C1UfF82k).
- Thomson M and Ali M. 2003. Garlic [Allium sativum]: A review of its potential use as an anti-cancer agent. Current Cancer Drug Targets.**3**:67-81.
- Towne CM, Dudt JF and Ray DB.2015. Effect of *Mansoa alliacea* (Bignonaceae) leaf extract on embryonic and tumorigenic mouse cell lines. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*. **9**(29):799-805.
- Wang H, Yang J, Hsieh S and Sheen L. 2010.Allyl sulfides inhibit cell growth of skin cancer cells through induction of DNA damage mediated G2/M arrest and apoptosis. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*.**58**:7096-7103.
- Zhou L and Mirvish S. 2005.Inhibition by allyl sulfides and crushed Garlic of O-6-methylguanine formation in liver DNA of dimethylnitrosamine treated rats. *Nutrition and Cancer.* **1**:68-77.